IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS

RAMON RICHARDS,

Plaintiff,

V

Civil Action No. 5:24-cv-317

GENERAL MILLS SALES, INC., AND H-E-B, LP D/B/A H-E-B TEXAS 395 CORPORATE STORE,

Defendants.

DECLARATION OF BERTINA B. YORK IN SUPPORT OF NOTICE OF REMOVAL OF ACTION

- I, Bertina B. York, declare:
- 1. I am an attorney duly licensed to practice in the State of Texas and an attorney at the law firm of Norton Rose Fulbright US LLP. I am the attorney of record for Defendant General Mills Sales, Inc. ("General Mills"). I have personal knowledge of the facts contained in this Declaration, and if called upon to testify I would and could do so as set forth herein.
- 2. On February 22, 2024, Plaintiff Ramon Richards ("Plaintiff") filed a civil action in the 224th Judicial District Court for the County of Bexar, titled Ramon Richards v. General Mills, Inc., et al., Cause No. 2024CI03830. Plaintiff

asserts negligence, strict liability, product liability, *res ipsa loquitor*, and breach of warranty claims against General Mills, which stem from an alleged incident and subsequent injuries involving General Mills' Trix cereal on or around August 24, 2022. A true and correct copy of Plaintiff's First Amended Petition is attached hereto as **Exhibit A**.

- 3. Plaintiff's Petition also names Defendant H-E-B, LP D/B/A H-E-B, Texas Corporate 395 Store ("H-E-B").
- 4. On February 28, 2024, Plaintiff personally served General Mills through its agent for service of process. A true and correct copy of the Citations served on General Mills and H-E-B are attached hereto as **Exhibit B**.
- 5. General Mills, at the time this action was commenced, was and still is a corporation organized under the laws of the State of Delaware, with its principal place of business in Minneapolis, Minnesota, and was not and is not organized under the laws of the State of Texas, wherein this action was brought.
- 6. H-E-B at the time this action was commenced, was and still is a Texas Limited Partnership with its principal place of business in San Antonio, Texas. Undersigned counsel avers that Plaintiff improperly joined the non-diverse Defendant H-E-B, and their citizenship should not be considered in determining the propriety of removal under 28 U.S.C. § 1332. Nonetheless, H-E-B consents to removal of this action to this Court.

- 7. Plaintiff's Petition alleges monetary damages "over Two Hundred and Fifty Thousand Dollars (\$250,000.00)" arising from his claims. (Am. Pet. at ¶ 1.) According to Plaintiff's Petition, Plaintiff seeks pain and suffering, past and future medical expenses, future lost earnings and/or lost earning capacity, and costs of suit. (*Id.* at ¶ 32.) General Mills disputes that it is liable for any damages whatsoever to Plaintiff.
- 8. A true and correct copy of all other process, pleadings, and orders served in this action at the time of this removal and known to General Mills are attached hereto as **Exhibit C**. I am informed and believe that no other process, pleadings, or orders have been filed, served, or received by General Mills in this case. Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1446(a) and LRCiv 3.6(b), to the best of undersigned counsel's knowledge, **Exhibit C** constitutes true and correct copies of all the process, pleadings, and orders served in this action at the time of this removal.
- 11. A true and correct copy of the Civil Cover Sheet is attached hereto as **Exhibit D**.
- 12. A true and correct copy of the most recent state court docket is attached hereto as **Exhibit E**.

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct to the best of my knowledge.

Executed this 28th day of March, 2024, pursuant to the laws of the United States of America and the State of Texas, at Bexar County, San Antonio, Texas.

Dated: March 28, 2024

Bertina B. York (TX #03354500)

NORTON ROSE FULBRIGHT US LLP

111 W. Houston Street, Suite 1800

San Antonio, TX 78205

T: (210) 270-9327

F: (210) 270-7205

bertina.york@nortonrosefulbright.com

EXHIBIT A

Case 5:24-cv-00317-JKP Document 1-1 Filed 03/28/24 Page 6 of 60

Gloria A. Martinez Bexar County District Clerk Accepted By: Wendy Rodriguez Bexar County - 224th District Court

FILED

2/22/2024 2:55 PM

CAUSE NO. <u>2024CI03830</u>

RAMON RICHARDS,	§	IN THE DISTRICT COURT
PLAINTIFF,	§	
	§	
VS.	§	
	§	224 TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT
GENERAL MILLS SALES, INC., AND	§	
H-E-B, LP D/B/A H-E-B TEXAS	§	
CORPORATE 395 STORE	§	
DEFENDANTS.	§	BEXAR COUNTY, TEXAS

PLAINTIFF'S FIRST AMENDED ORIGINAL PETITION WITH REQUESTS FOR INITIAL DISCLOSURES

TO THE HONORABLE JUDGE OF SAID COURT:

NOW COMES RAMON RICHARDS, hereinafter referred to by name or as Plaintiff, and complains of GENERAL MILLS SALES, INC. ("Defendant GENERAL MILLS") and H-E-B, LP D/B/A H-E-B TEXAS CORPORATE 395 STORE ("Defendant HEB") hereinafter referred to by names or as Defendants in the aggregate, and for cause of action would respectfully show unto the Court as follows:

CLAIMS FOR RELIEF AND DISCOVERY CONTROL PLAN

1. Plaintiff asserts that the amount of any monetary damages awarded to Plaintiff should be decided by a jury of Plaintiff's peers. However, Pursuant to RULE 47 of the TEXAS RULES OF CIVIL PROCEDURE, Plaintiff seeks monetary relief over Two Hundred and Fifty Thousand Dollars (\$250,000.00) and a demand for judgment for all the other relief to which Plaintiff **RAMON RICHARDS** deems himself justly entitled at the time of filing this suit, which, with the passage of time, may change. Plaintiffs intend that discovery be conducted under LEVEL 3 of RULE 190 of the TEXAS RULES OF CIVIL PROCEDURE.

PARTIES

- 2. Plaintiff, RAMON RICHARDS, is an individual who resides in San Antonio, Bexar County, Texas. Pursuant to Section 30.14 of the Texas Civil Practice & Remedies Code, Plaintiff provides the following information: the last three (3) numbers of Plaintiff's social security number are: 474.
- 3. Defendant **GENERAL MILLS SALES INC**. is a Foreign For-Profit Corporation authorized to do business in the State of Texas and may be served with process through its registered agent, NATIONAL REGISTERED AGENTS, INC., 1999 Bryan St., Ste, 900, Dallas, Texas 75201. **Citation is hereby requested.**
- 4. Defendant H-E-B, LP D/B/A H-E-B, TEXAS CORPORATE 395 STORE is a domestic limited partnership authorized to do business in the State of Texas and may be served with process through its registered agent, Abel Martinez, 646 South Flores St., San Antonio, Texas 78204. Citation is hereby requested.

JURISDICTION & VENUE

- 5. This Court has jurisdiction over the parties because the amount in controversy is within the jurisdictional limits of this Court. Additionally, this Court has jurisdiction over the parties because Defendant is a Texas resident and/or does business in the State of Texas.
- **6.** Venue in Bexar County is proper in this Cause under Section 15.002(a)(1) of the TEXAS CIVIL PRACTICE AND REMEDIES CODE because all or a substantial part of the events or omissions giving rise to this lawsuit occurred in this county.

MISNOMER, ALTER-EGO AND ASSUMED NAMES

7. In the event any parties are misnamed or not included herein, it is Plaintiff's contention that such was a "misnomer" and/or such parties are/were "alter egos" of parties named herein. Plaintiff

relies upon Vernon's Texas Revised Civil Statutes Annotated, Art 6133, et seq., and Rule 28 of the Texas Rules of Civil Procedure in order to properly identify the corporate Defendants herein.

FACTS

- **8.** Plaintiff was injured by consuming this product in the manner intended and foreseen by the Defendants. The product in question, breakfast cereal, is sold in a sealed plastic bag. The product is designed to be used for human consumption in the manner suggested by the manufacturer, to wit: Ready to Eat. Start by pouring your cereal into a bowl, then add milk and eat your cereal.
- 9. On August 24, 2022, Plaintiff Ramon Richards was a customer shopping at Defendant HEB's store located at 12777 IH 10 WEST, San Antonio, Texas 78230 where he has purchased a 35 oz. bag of Trix Breakfast Cereal. Plaintiff was injured by using the product in the manner described above and suggested on the packaging. That is, Plaintiff opened the package, poured the breakfast into a bowl of cereal, and proceeded to eat the cereal. As Plaintiff was eating the cereal, he bit into a hard object. Plaintiff felt instant pain in his teeth and jaw and heard a cracking sound in his mouth. Plaintiff spit out from his mouth an object that was discovered to be a metal nail. Pieces of Plaintiff's teeth also came out of his mouth.
- **10.** At no time did Plaintiff Ramon Richards alter the package or contents of the Trix bag of breakfast cereal. The product was under the control and prepared by Defendants prior to Plaintiff consuming the product.

PLAINTIFF'S CLAIMS OF NEGLIGENCE AGAINST DEFENDANTS

11. Plaintiff asserts that the product in question, a 35 oz. bag of Trix Breakfast Cereal, was defective and unsafe for its intended purpose at the time it left the control of Defendant GENERAL

MILLS and at the time it was sold by the retailer Defendant H-E-B. Plaintiff further asserts that the product was defective in that it was improperly processed, manufactured, and sold with a dangerous foreign object, a metal nail, in the packaged cereal.

- 12. Thus, as a proximate result of Defendants' negligent conduct, Plaintiff sustained injuries resulting in injuries and damages to Plaintiff as hereinafter set out. Plaintiff asserts, therefore, that the incident was proximately caused by the negligence of Defendant H-E-B and Defendant GENERAL MILLS, and that said negligence was the proximate cause of the Plaintiff's bodily injuries and damages.
- 13. Defendants breached the duty of care they owed to Plaintiff as a consumer and were both negligent and grossly negligent in their failure to exercise ordinary care in the safety of Plaintiff. Plaintiff seeks all applicable damages available under Texas law.
- 14. Due to the negligence of Defendant GENERAL MILLS and Defendant HEB" in packaging, distributing, and selling a product that was unfit for human consumption, Plaintiff Ramon Richards sustained injuries to his body, incurred medical expenses, and is likely to incur additional medical expenses in the future.

STRICT LIABILITY CLAIMS AGAINST DEFENDANTS

15. The Defendants, and each of them, who regularly engage in the business of manufacturing and selling packaged food products, sold a package of food that was adulterated, in that it contained a foreign body that made the food unreasonably dangerous for human consumption. The product that the Defendants manufactures, packaged, sold contained a metal nail at the time it left the Defendants' possession and control. Food that contains metal nails embedded within it is unreasonably dangerous for its ordinary and expected use, i.e., human consumption. Such a product is thus in an unreasonably dangerous condition not contemplated by an ordinary consumer,

making it defective *per se*. The packaged breakfast cereal was used by the Plaintiff in the manner expected and intended when Plaintiff consumed it. The Plaintiff suffered injury and damages as a direct and proximate result of the defective and unreasonably dangerous condition of the product sold by the Defendants. The Defendants are strictly liable to the Plaintiff for all damages proximately caused by their defective product.

PRODUCT LIABILITY CLAIMS AGAINST DEFENDANT H-E-B, LP D/B/A H-E-B TEXAS CORPORATE 025 STORE

- **16.** On August 24, 2022, Plaintiff bought 35 oz. bag of Trix Breakfast Cereal from Defendant H-E-B.
- **17.** At all times material to this cause of action, Defendant H-E-B was the owner of and/or controlled the subject premises, located at 12777 IH 10 WEST, San Antonio, Texas 78230.
- **18.** At the time of this occurrence, Defendant H-E-B was engaged in the retail business of selling food, including the bag of Trix Breakfast Cereal described above, to the general public.
- 19. At the time Plaintiff opened the bag of Trix Breakfast Cereal and consumed its contents, the bag was in the same packaging as originally manufactured and as it was at the time it was sold to him by Defendant H-E-B.

PRODUCT LIABILITY CLAIMS BY MANUFACTURER DEFENDANT GENERAL MILLS SALES INC.

- 20. At the time of this occurrence, Defendant GENERAL MILLS SALES, INC., was engaged in the business of manufacturing and packaging cereals products, including bags of Trix Breakfast Cereal, for sale to and for use by members of the general public. Defendant placed the Trix Breakfast Cereal Bag into the stream of commerce by H-E-B.
- 21. Defendant GENERAL MILLS was negligent in the processing, manufacturing, marketing and distribution of the bag of Trix Breakfast Cereal in that its product was defective and was

packaged with a dangerous foreign object (a metal nail). Further, Defendant GENERAL MILLS failed to warn the public and Plaintiff of the dangerous foreign object contained within its product.

22. Plaintiff asserts that each and all of the foregoing acts and or omissions were negligent and constituted negligence and were each and all the proximate cause of the incident which forms the basis of this suit and was a proximate cause of Plaintiff's injuries and damages.

BREACH OF EXPRESS WARRANTY

- 23. Plaintiff further asserts that Defendant GENERAL MILLS and Defendant H-E-B, LP D/B/A H-E-B TEXAS CORPORATE 025 STORE each expressly warranted that their food product, a 35 oz. bag of Trix Breakfast Cereal, would be fit for human consumption or otherwise would not have a dangerous foreign object (a metal nail) in it. Defendants, and each of them, breached said warranty by selling Plaintiff a 35 oz. bag of Trix Breakfast Cereal with a metal nail in it.
- 24. As a proximate result of said breach of express warranties by the Defendants, and each of them, Plaintiff was seriously injured, experienced pain and suffering, mental anguish and incurred medical bills.

BREACH OF EXPRESS WARRANTY

- 25. Plaintiff asserts that Defendant GENERAL MILLS and Defendant H-E-B, LP D/B/A H-E-B TEXAS CORPORATE 025 STORE each impliedly warranted that their food product, a 35 oz. bag of Trix Breakfast Cereal, would be fit for human consumption or otherwise would not have a dangerous foreign object (a metal nail) in it. Defendants, and each of them, breached said warranty by selling Plaintiff a 35 oz. bag of Trix Breakfast Cereal with a metal nail in it.
- **26.** As a proximate result of said breach of implied warranties by the Defendants, and each of them, Plaintiff was seriously injured, experienced pain and suffering, mental anguish and incurred medical bills.

RES IPSA LOQUITUR

- 27. Plaintiff cannot more specifically allege the acts of negligent manufacture or design on the part of Defendant GENERAL MILLS, because facts in the regard are peculiarly within the knowledge of the Defendant. In the alternative, in the event Plaintiff is unable to prove specific acts of negligent design or manufacture, Plaintiff relies on the doctrine of *res ipsa loquitur*.
- 28. In this connection, negligent design or manufacture, Plaintiff will show that the character of the occurrence giving rise to this litigation is such that it would not have in the absence of negligence, and the design and manufacture of the bag of Trix Breakfast Cereal was within the exclusive control of the Defendant GENERAL MILLS at the time of the negligence probably occurred.
- 29. Plaintiff had no means of ascertaining the method or manner in which the product was designed and manufactured, and it came into Plaintiff's possession in the same condition it was in when it left in the control of the Defendant GENERAL MILLS. Thus, Defendant GENERAL MILLS was negligent in the design and/or manufacture of the Trix Breakfast Cereal bag, and such negligence was a proximate cause of injuries and damages sustained by Plaintiff.

DAMAGES

30. As a direct result of the conduct of Defendants and their agents, servants, and employees, Plaintiff suffered severe injuries to his tooth. These injuries are permanent in nature. The injuries have had a serious effect on Plaintiff's health and well-being. These specific injuries and their ill effects have, in turn, caused Plaintiff's physical and mental condition to deteriorate generally and the specific injuries and ill effects have caused and will, in all reasonable probability, cause Plaintiff to suffer consequences and ill effects of the deterioration of his body for long into the future if not for the balance of his natural life. As a result of the nature and consequences of his injuries, Plaintiff has

suffered great physical and mental pain, suffering and anguish and in all reasonable probability, will continue to suffer in this manner for a long time into the future, if not for the balance of his natural life. By reason of all the above Plaintiff has suffered losses and damages in a sum within the jurisdictional limits of this Court and for which this lawsuit is brought.

- 31. As a further result of all of the above, Plaintiff has incurred expenses for his medical care and attention. These expenses were incurred for the necessary care and treatment of injuries resulting from the incident complained of. The charges are reasonable and were the usual and customary charges made for the services. As a further result of the injuries sustained by Plaintiff, there is reasonable probability that he will require further medical care and attention and will incur future reasonable and necessary expenses for his medical care and attention.
- **32.** As a proximate cause of the Defendants' negligent conduct and the resulting collision, Plaintiff seeks to recover damages, which specifically include, but are not limited to, the following:
 - 1. Medical expenses in the past;
 - 2. Medical expenses that in all reasonable probability will be incurred in the future, including the cost of medical monitoring and prevention in the future;
 - 3. Physical pain and suffering in the past;
 - 4. Physical pain and suffering that will in all reasonable probability be incurred in the future;
 - 5. Physical impairment, including loss of enjoyment of life, in the past;
 - 6. Physical impairment, including loss of enjoyment of life, which in all reasonable probability, will be suffered in the future;
 - 7. Physical Disfigurement in the past;
 - 8. Physical Disfigurement that in all reasonable probability will be incurred in the future;
 - 9. Mental anguish in the past;
 - 10. Mental anguish that in all reasonable probability will be incurred in the future;
 - 11. Lost wages in the past; and
 - 12. Loss of future wage-earning capacity;

33. By reason of all of the above, Plaintiff **RAMON RICHARDS** has suffered losses and damages in a sum within the jurisdictional limits of this Court for which he now sues.

INTEREST

34. Plaintiff further requests both pre-judgment and post-judgment interest on all damages as allowed by law.

DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

35. Plaintiff demands a trial by jury. Plaintiff acknowledges payment on this date of the required jury fee.

REQUEST FOR DISCLOSURE

36. Pursuant to Rule 194 of the TEXAS RULES OF CIVIL PROCEDURE, all parties named herein as Defendants are to disclose, within thirty (30) days following the first Answer or general appearance of Defendants, the information and material described in the TEXAS RULES OF CIVIL PROCEDURE 194.2(a)-(l).

NOTICE OF SELF AUTHENTICATION

37. Pursuant to Rule 193.7 of the TEXAS RULES OF CIVIL PROCEDURE, Plaintiff hereby gives notice to all parties that they intend to use all documents, materials and other discovery instruments produced in this case at trial. Such discovery instruments include, but are not limited to, all documents and materials which Defendant produces in response to Plaintiff's written discovery requests.

DESIGNATED E-SERVICE EMAIL ADDRESS

38. The following is the undersigned attorney's designated E-Service email address for all eserved documents and notices, filed and unfiled, pursuant to Tex. R. Civ. P. 21(f)(2) & 21a: mark.acuna@martinez-law.com; claudia.acuna@martinez-law.com; frances.gonzales@martinez-

<u>law.com</u>. This is the undersigned's only E-Service email address, and service through any other email address will be considered invalid.

PRAYER

WHEREFORE, PREMISES CONSIDERED, Plaintiff, RAMON RICHARDS, respectfully prays that Defendants H-E-B, LP D/B/A H-E-B TEXAS CORPORATE 025 STORE AND GENERAL MILLS SALES, INC., be cited to appear and answer herein, and that Plaintiff be awarded a judgment against Defendants for the following:

- a. Actual and compensatory damages;
- b. Pre and post judgment interest at the maximum rate allowable by law;
- c. Any and all applicable costs of court; and
- d. Any and all such other and further relief to which Plaintiff may be entitled to, at law or in equity.

RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED,

By: /s/ Mark Anthony Acuna
Mark Anthony Acuna
Texas Bar No. 24064044
mark.acuna@martinez-law.com
Desi I. Martinez
Texas Bar No. 24053342
desi.martinez@martinez-law.com

MARTINEZ & ASSOCIATES, PLLC 2828 Goliad Road, Suite 125 San Antonio, Texas 78223 Tel. (210) 359-8250 Fax (210) 359-8255

Attorneys for Plaintiff

Automated Certificate of eService

This automated certificate of service was created by the efiling system. The filer served this document via email generated by the efiling system on the date and to the persons listed below. The rules governing certificates of service have not changed. Filers must still provide a certificate of service that complies with all applicable rules.

Claudia Acuna on behalf of Mark Acuna Bar No. 24064044

claudia.acuna@martinez-law.com

Envelope ID: 84803022

Filing Code Description: FIRST AMENDED PETITION

Filing Description: WITH REQUESTS FOR INITIAL DISCLOSURES

Status as of 2/26/2024 8:08 AM CST

Associated Case Party: Ramon Richards

Name	BarNumber	Email	TimestampSubmitted	Status
Mark AnthonyAcuna		mark.acuna@martinez-law.com	2/22/2024 2:55:00 PM	SENT
Frances Gonzales		frances.gonzales@martinez-law.com	2/22/2024 2:55:00 PM	SENT
Claudia Acuna		claudia.acuna@martinez-law.com	2/22/2024 2:55:00 PM	SENT

EXHIBIT B

FILED 3/1/2024 11:41 AM Gloria A. Martinez

Bexar County District Clerk

Accepted By: Garland Carter
Bexar County - 224th District Court

Case 5:24-cv-00317-JKP

Document 1-1

Filed 03/28/24

Page 18 of 60

PRIVATE PROCESS

Case Number: 2024CI03830

Ramon Richards VS General Mills Sales, Inc. ET AL (Note: Attached Document May Contain Additional Litigants)

IN THE **224TH DISTRICT COURT** BEXAR COUNTY, TEXAS

CITATION

"THE STATE OF TEXAS"

Directed To:

General Mills Sales, Inc.

BY SERVING ITS REGISTERED AGENT, NATIONAL REGISTERED AGENTS

"You have been sued. You may employ an attorney. If you or your attorney do not file a written answer with the clerk who issued this citation by 10:00am on the Monday next following the expiration of twenty days after you were served this CITATION and PETITION a default judgment may be taken against you. In addition to filing a written answer with the clerk, you may be required to make initial disclosures to the other parties of this suit. These disclosures generally must be made no later than 30 days after you file your answer with the clerk. Find out more at TexasLawHelp.org" Said First Amended Original Petition With Requests For Initial Disclosures was filed on this the 22nd day of February, 2024.

ISSUED UNDER MY HAND AND SEAL OF SAID COURT on this the 26th day of February, 2024.

Notary ID 133372456

MARK ANTHONY ACUNA ATTORNEY FOR PLAINTIFF 2828 Goliad RD STE 125 San Antonio TX 78223-3967



Gloria A. Martinez Bexar County District Clerk 101 W. Nueva, Suite 217

San Antonio, Texas 78205 By: /s/<u>Rosa Aguilera-Rodriguez</u> Rosa Aguilera-Rodriguez, Deputy

Case Number: 2024CI03830 RAMON RICHARDS VS GENERAL MILLS SALES, INC. ET AL 224th District Court Officer's Return I received this CITATION on the 26 day of februry 2024 at 1212 o'clock M. and () executed it by delivering a copy of the CITATION with attached FIRST AMENDED ORIGINAL PETITION WITH REQUESTS FOR INITIAL DISCLOSURES the date of delivery endorsed on it to the defendant National Registered Agents in person on the 20 day of February, 2027 at 116 o'clock A M. at 1999 Bryan St. Ste. 900 or () not executed because _ Date certification expires: Badge/PPS #: Fees: County, Texas OR: VERIFICATION OF RETURN (If not served by a peace officer) SWORN TO THIS NOTARY PUBLIC, STATE OF TEXAS my date of birth is _ and my address is County, State of Texas, LACHANDRA CHANEY Notary Public, State of Texas Comm. Expires 10-05-2025

Automated Certificate of eService

This automated certificate of service was created by the efiling system. The filer served this document via email generated by the efiling system on the date and to the persons listed below. The rules governing certificates of service have not changed. Filers must still provide a certificate of service that complies with all applicable rules.

Frances Gonzales on behalf of Mark Acuna

Bar No. 24064044

frances.gonzales@martinez-law.com

Envelope ID: 85096672

Filing Code Description: Return of Service Successful

Filing Description: H-E-B, LP

Status as of 3/4/2024 12:07 PM CST

Associated Case Party: Ramon Richards

Name	BarNumber	Email	TimestampSubmitted	Status
Frances Gonzales		frances.gonzales@martinez-law.com	3/1/2024 11:41:28 AM	SENT
Claudia Acuna		claudia.acuna@martinez-law.com	3/1/2024 11:41:28 AM	SENT
Mark AnthonyAcuna		mark.acuna@martinez-law.com	3/1/2024 11:41:28 AM	SENT

Document 1-1

Filed 03/28/24

Page 20 of 60

PRIVATE PROCESS

Bexar County District Clerk Accepted By: Garland Carter Bexar County - 224th District Court

Case Number: 2024CI03830

Ramon Richards VS General Mills Sales, Inc. ET AL (Note: Attached Document May Contain Additional IN THE 224TH DISTRICT COURT
BEXAR COUNTY, TEXAS

Litigants)

Gloria A. Martinez

CITATION

"THE STATE OF TEXAS"

Directed To:

H-E-B, LP D/B/A H-E-B TEXAS CORPORATE 395 STORE BY SERVING ITS REGISTERED AGENT, ABEL MARTINEZ

"You have been sued. You may employ an attorney. If you or your attorney do not file a written answer with the clerk who issued this citation by 10:00am on the Monday next following the expiration of twenty days after you were served this CITATION and PETITION a default judgment may be taken against you. In addition to filing a written answer with the clerk, you may be required to make initial disclosures to the other parties of this suit. These disclosures generally must be made no later than 30 days after you file your answer with the clerk. Find out more at TexasLawHelp.org" Said First Amended Original Petition With Requests For Initial Disclosures was filed on this the 22nd day of February, 2024.

ISSUED UNDER MY HAND AND SEAL OF SAID COURT on this the 26th day of February, 2024.

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed in

Lt day of + Pbruans

MARK ANTHONY ACUNA ATTORNEY FOR PLAINTIFF 2828 Goliad RD STE 125 San Antonio TX 78223-3967



Gloria A. Martinez Bexar County District Clerk 101 W. Nueva, Suite 217

San Antonio, Texas 78205 By: /s/ Rosa Aguilera-Rodriguez Rosa Aguilera-Rodriguez, Deputy

RAMON RICHARDS VS GENERAL MILLS SALES, INC. ET AL

Case Number: 2024C103830
224th District Court

Officer's Return

I received this CITATION on the day of Educated 20 day of Educated 2

Automated Certificate of eService

This automated certificate of service was created by the efiling system. The filer served this document via email generated by the efiling system on the date and to the persons listed below. The rules governing certificates of service have not changed. Filers must still provide a certificate of service that complies with all applicable rules.

Frances Gonzales on behalf of Mark Acuna

Bar No. 24064044

frances.gonzales@martinez-law.com

Envelope ID: 85096672

Filing Code Description: Return of Service Successful

Filing Description: H-E-B, LP

Status as of 3/4/2024 12:07 PM CST

Associated Case Party: Ramon Richards

Name	BarNumber	Email	TimestampSubmitted	Status
Mark AnthonyAcuna		mark.acuna@martinez-law.com	3/1/2024 11:41:28 AM	SENT
Frances Gonzales		frances.gonzales@martinez-law.com	3/1/2024 11:41:28 AM	SENT
Claudia Acuna		claudia.acuna@martinez-law.com	3/1/2024 11:41:28 AM	SENT

EXHIBIT C

FILED 2/20/2024 3:43 PM Ca Gloria A. Martinez	Case 5:24-cv-00317	e 5:24-cv-00317-JKP		Filed 03/28/24	Page 23 of 60
Bexar County District Accepted By: Brenda Bexar County - 224th	Carrillo	202	24Cl03830		2 citpps / sac 2

		_
RAMON RICHARDS,	§	IN THE DISTRICT COURT
PLAINTIFF,	§	
,	§	
VS.	§	
	§	JUDICIAL DISTRICT
GENERAL MILLS SALES, INC., AND	§	
H-E-B, LP D/B/A H-E-B TEXA	S §	
CORPORATE 395 STORE	§	
DEFENDANTS.	§	BEXAR COUNTY, TEXAS

CAUSE NO.

PLAINTIFF'S ORIGINAL PETITION WITH REQUESTS FOR INITIAL DISCLOSURES

TO THE HONORABLE JUDGE OF SAID COURT:

NOW COMES RAMON RICHARDS, hereinafter referred to by name or as Plaintiff, and complains of GENERAL MILLS SALES, INC. ("Defendant GENERAL MILLS") and H-E-B, LP D/B/A H-E-B TEXAS CORPORATE 395 STORE ("Defendant HEB") hereinafter referred to by names or as Defendants in the aggregate, and for cause of action would respectfully show unto the Court as follows:

CLAIMS FOR RELIEF AND DISCOVERY CONTROL PLAN

1. Plaintiff asserts that the amount of any monetary damages awarded to Plaintiff should be decided by a jury of Plaintiff's peers. However, Pursuant to RULE 47 of the TEXAS RULES OF CIVIL PROCEDURE, Plaintiff seeks monetary relief over Two Hundred and Fifty Thousand Dollars (\$250,000.00) and a demand for judgment for all the other relief to which Plaintiff **RAMON RICHARDS** deems himself justly entitled at the time of filing this suit, which, with the passage of time, may change. Plaintiffs intend that discovery be conducted under LEVEL 3 of RULE 190 of the TEXAS RULES OF CIVIL PROCEDURE.

PARTIES

- 2. Plaintiff, RAMON RICHARDS, is an individual who resides in San Antonio, Bexar County, Texas. Pursuant to Section 30.14 of the Texas Civil Practice & Remedies Code, Plaintiff provides the following information: the last three (3) numbers of Plaintiff's social security number are: 474.
- 3. Defendant **GENERAL MILLS SALES INC**., is a Foreign For-Profit Corporation authorized to do business in the State of Texas and may be served with process through its registered agent, NATIONAL REGISTERED AGENTS, INC., 1999 Bryan St., Ste, 900, Dallas, Texas 75201. **Citation is hereby requested.**
- 4. Defendant H-E-B, LP D/B/A H-E-B, TEXAS CORPORATE 395 STORE is a domestic limited partnership authorized to do business in the State of Texas and may be served with process through its registered agent, Abel Martinez, 646 South Flores St., San Antonio, Texas 78204. Citation is hereby requested.

JURISDICTION & VENUE

- 5. This Court has jurisdiction over the parties because the amount in controversy is within the jurisdictional limits of this Court. Additionally, this Court has jurisdiction over the parties because Defendant is a Texas resident and/or does business in the State of Texas.
- **6.** Venue in Bexar County is proper in this Cause under Section 15.002(a)(1) of the TEXAS CIVIL PRACTICE AND REMEDIES CODE because all or a substantial part of the events or omissions giving rise to this lawsuit occurred in this county.

MISNOMER, ALTER-EGO AND ASSUMED NAMES

7. In the event any parties are misnamed or not included herein, it is Plaintiff's contention that such was a "misnomer" and/or such parties are/were "alter egos" of parties named herein. Plaintiff

relies upon Vernon's Texas Revised Civil Statutes Annotated, Art 6133, et seq., and Rule 28 of the Texas Rules of Civil Procedure in order to properly identify the corporate Defendants herein.

FACTS

- **8.** Plaintiff was injured by consuming this product in the manner intended and foreseen by the Defendants. The product in question, breakfast cereal, is sold in a sealed plastic bag. The product is designed to be used for human consumption in the manner suggested by the manufacturer, to wit: Ready to Eat. Start by pouring your cereal into a bowl, then add milk and eat your cereal.
- 9. On August 24, 2022, Plaintiff Ramon Richards was a customer shopping at Defendant HEB's store located at 12777 IH 10 WEST, San Antonio, Texas 78230 where he has purchased a 35 oz. bag of Trix Breakfast Cereal. Plaintiff was injured by using the product in the manner described above and suggested on the packaging. That is, Plaintiff opened the package, poured the breakfast into a bowl of cereal, and proceeded to eat the cereal. As Plaintiff was eating the cereal, he bit into a hard object. Plaintiff felt instant pain in his teeth and jaw and heard a cracking sound in his mouth. Plaintiff spit out from his mouth an object that was discovered to metal screw. Pieces of Plaintiff's teeth also came out of his mouth.
- 10. At no time did Plaintiff Ramon Richards alter the package or contents of the Trix bag of breakfast cereal. The product was under the control and prepared by Defendants prior to Plaintiff consuming the product.

PLAINTIFF'S CLAIMS OF NEGLIGENCE AGAINST DEFENDANTS

10. Plaintiff asserts that the product in question, a 35 oz. bag of Trix Breakfast Cereal, was defective and unsafe for its intended purpose at the time it left the control of Defendant GENERAL

MILLS and at the time it was sold by the retailer Defendant H-E-B. Plaintiff further asserts that the product was defective in that it was improperly processed, manufactured, and sold with a dangerous foreign object, a metal screw, in the packaged cereal.

- 11. Thus, as a proximate result of Defendants' negligent conduct, Plaintiff sustained injuries resulting in injuries and damages to Plaintiff as hereinafter set out. Plaintiff asserts, therefore, that the incident was proximately caused by the negligence of Defendant H-E-B and Defendant GENERAL MILLS, and that said negligence was the proximate cause of the Plaintiff's bodily injuries and damages.
- 12. Defendants breached the duty of care they owed to Plaintiff as a consumer and were both negligent and grossly negligent in their failure to exercise ordinary care in the safety of Plaintiff. Plaintiff seeks all applicable damages available under Texas law.
- 13. Due to the negligence of Defendant GENERAL MILLS and Defendant HEB" in packaging, distributing, and selling a product that was unfit for human consumption, Plaintiff Ramon Richards sustained injuries to his body, incurred medical expenses, and is likely to incur additional medical expenses in the future.

STRICT LIABILITY CLAIMS AGAINST DEFENDANTS

On. The Defendants, and each of them, who regularly engage in the business of manufacturing and selling packaged food products, sold a package of food that was adulterated, in that it contained a foreign body that made the food unreasonably dangerous for human consumption. The product that the Defendants manufactures, packaged, sold contained a metal nail at the time it left the Defendants' possession and control. Food that contains metal nails embedded within it is unreasonably dangerous for its ordinary and expected use, i.e., human consumption. Such a product is thus in an unreasonably dangerous condition not contemplated by an ordinary consumer,

making it defective *per se*. The packaged breakfast cereal was used by the Plaintiff in the manner expected and intended when Plaintiff consumed it. The Plaintiff suffered injury and damages as a direct and proximate result of the defective and unreasonably dangerous condition of the product sold by the Defendants. The Defendants are strictly liable to the Plaintiff for all damages proximately caused by their defective product.

PRODUCT LIABILITY CLAIMS AGAINST DEFENDANT H-E-B, LP D/B/A H-E-B TEXAS CORPORATE 025 STORE

- **13.** On August 24, 2022, Plaintiff bought 35 oz. bag of Trix Breakfast Cereal from Defendant H-E-B.
- **14.** At all times material to this cause of action, Defendant H-E-B was the owner of and/or controlled the subject premises, located at 12777 IH 10 WEST, San Antonio, Texas 78230.
- **15.** At the time of this occurrence, Defendant H-E-B was engaged in the retail business of selling food, including the bag of Trix Breakfast Cereal described above, to the general public.
- 16. At the time Plaintiff opened the bag of Trix Breakfast Cereal and consumed its contents, the bag was in the same packaging as originally manufactured and as it was at the time it was sold to him by Defendant H-E-B.

PRODUCT LIABILITY CLAIMS BY MANUFACTURER DEFENDANT GENERAL MILLS SALES INC.

- 17. At the time of this occurrence, Defendant GENERAL MILLS SALES, INC., was engaged in the business of manufacturing and packaging cereals products, including bags of Trix Breakfast Cereal, for sale to and for use by members of the general public. Defendant placed the Trix Breakfast Cereal Bag into the stream of commerce by H-E-B.
- 18. Defendant GENERAL MILLS was negligent in the processing, manufacturing, marketing and distribution of the bag of Trix Breakfast Cereal in that its product was defective and was

packaged with a dangerous foreign object (a metal screw). Further, Defendant GENERAL MILLS failed to warn the public and Plaintiff of the dangerous foreign object contained within its product.

19. Plaintiff asserts that each and all of the foregoing acts and or omissions were negligent and constituted negligence and were each and all the proximate cause of the incident which forms the basis of this suit and was a proximate cause of Plaintiff's injuries and damages.

BREACH OF EXPRESS WARRANTY

- 20. Plaintiff further asserts that Defendant GENERAL MILLS and Defendant H-E-B, LP D/B/A H-E-B TEXAS CORPORATE 025 STORE each expressly warranted that their food product, a 35 oz. bag of Trix Breakfast Cereal, would be fit for human consumption or otherwise would not have a dangerous foreign object (a metal screw) in it. Defendants, and each of them, breached said warranty by selling Plaintiff a 35 oz. bag of Trix Breakfast Cereal with a metal screw in it.
- 21. As a proximate result of said breach of express warranties by the Defendants, and each of them, Plaintiff was seriously injured, experienced pain and suffering, mental anguish and incurred medical bills.

BREACH OF EXPRESS WARRANTY

- 22. Plaintiff asserts that Defendant GENERAL MILLS and Defendant H-E-B, LP D/B/A H-E-B TEXAS CORPORATE 025 STORE each impliedly warranted that their food product, a 35 oz. bag of Trix Breakfast Cereal, would be fit for human consumption or otherwise would not have a dangerous foreign object (a metal screw) in it. Defendants, and each of them, breached said warranty by selling Plaintiff a 35 oz. bag of Trix Breakfast Cereal with a metal screw in it.
- 23. As a proximate result of said breach of implied warranties by the Defendants, and each of them, Plaintiff was seriously injured, experienced pain and suffering, mental anguish and incurred

medical bills.

RES IPSA LOQUITUR

- **24.** Plaintiff cannot more specifically allege the acts of negligent manufacture or design on the part of Defendant GENERAL MILLS, because facts in the regard are peculiarly within the knowledge of the Defendant. In the alternative, in the event Plaintiff is unable to prove specific acts of negligent design or manufacture, Plaintiff relies on the doctrine of *res ipsa loquitur*.
- 25. In this connection, negligent design or manufacture, Plaintiff will show that the character of the occurrence giving rise to this litigation is such that it would not have in the absence of negligence, and the design and manufacture of the bag of Trix Breakfast Cereal was within the exclusive control of the Defendant GENERAL MILLS at the time of the negligence probably occurred.
- 26. Plaintiff had no means of ascertaining the method or manner in which the product was designed and manufactured, and it came into Plaintiff's possession in the same condition it was in when it left in the control of the Defendant GENERAL MILLS. Thus, Defendant GENERAL MILLS was negligent in the design and/or manufacture of the Trix Breakfast Cereal bag, and such negligence was a proximate cause of injuries and damages sustained by Plaintiff.

DAMAGES

27. As a direct result of the conduct of Defendants and their agents, servants, and employees, Plaintiff suffered severe injuries to his tooth. These injuries are permanent in nature. The injuries have had a serious effect on Plaintiff's health and well-being. These specific injuries and their ill effects have, in turn, caused Plaintiff's physical and mental condition to deteriorate generally and the specific injuries and ill effects have caused and will, in all reasonable probability, cause Plaintiff to suffer consequences and ill effects of the deterioration of his body for long into the future if not for

the balance of his natural life. As a result of the nature and consequences of his injuries, Plaintiff has suffered great physical and mental pain, suffering and anguish and in all reasonable probability, will continue to suffer in this manner for a long time into the future, if not for the balance of his natural life. By reason of all the above Plaintiff has suffered losses and damages in a sum within the jurisdictional limits of this Court and for which this lawsuit is brought.

- As a further result of all of the above, Plaintiff has incurred expenses for his medical care and attention. These expenses were incurred for the necessary care and treatment of injuries resulting from the incident complained of. The charges are reasonable and were the usual and customary charges made for the services. As a further result of the injuries sustained by Plaintiff, there is reasonable probability that he will require further medical care and attention and will incur future reasonable and necessary expenses for his medical care and attention.
- **29.** As a proximate cause of the Defendants' negligent conduct and the resulting collision, Plaintiff seeks to recover damages, which specifically include, but are not limited to, the following:
 - 1. Medical expenses in the past;
 - 2. Medical expenses that in all reasonable probability will be incurred in the future, including the cost of medical monitoring and prevention in the future;
 - 3. Physical pain and suffering in the past;
 - 4. Physical pain and suffering that will in all reasonable probability be incurred in the future;
 - 5. Physical impairment, including loss of enjoyment of life, in the past;
 - 6. Physical impairment, including loss of enjoyment of life, which in all reasonable probability, will be suffered in the future;
 - 7. Physical Disfigurement in the past;
 - 8. Physical Disfigurement that in all reasonable probability will be incurred in the future;
 - 9. Mental anguish in the past;
 - 10. Mental anguish that in all reasonable probability will be incurred in the future;
 - 11. Lost wages in the past; and
 - 12. Loss of future wage-earning capacity;

30. By reason of all of the above, Plaintiff **RAMON RICHARDS** has suffered losses and damages in a sum within the jurisdictional limits of this Court for which he now sues.

INTEREST

31. Plaintiff further requests both pre-judgment and post-judgment interest on all damages as allowed by law.

DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

32. Plaintiff demands a trial by jury. Plaintiff acknowledges payment on this date of the required jury fee.

REQUEST FOR DISCLOSURE

33. Pursuant to Rule 194 of the TEXAS RULES OF CIVIL PROCEDURE, all parties named herein as Defendants are to disclose, within thirty (30) days following the first Answer or general appearance of Defendants, the information and material described in the TEXAS RULES OF CIVIL PROCEDURE 194.2(a)-(1).

NOTICE OF SELF AUTHENTICATION

34. Pursuant to Rule 193.7 of the TEXAS RULES OF CIVIL PROCEDURE, Plaintiff hereby gives notice to all parties that they intend to use all documents, materials and other discovery instruments produced in this case at trial. Such discovery instruments include, but are not limited to, all documents and materials which Defendant produces in response to Plaintiff's written discovery requests.

DESIGNATED E-SERVICE EMAIL ADDRESS

35. The following is the undersigned attorney's designated E-Service email address for all eserved documents and notices, filed and unfiled, pursuant to Tex. R. Civ. P. 21(f)(2) & 21a: mark.acuna@martinez-law.com; claudia.acuna@martinez-law.com; frances.gonzales@martinez-

<u>law.com</u>. This is the undersigned's only E-Service email address, and service through any other email address will be considered invalid.

PRAYER

WHEREFORE, PREMISES CONSIDERED, Plaintiff, RAMON RICHARDS, respectfully prays that Defendants H-E-B, LP D/B/A H-E-B TEXAS CORPORATE 025 STORE AND GENERAL MILLS SALES, INC., be cited to appear and answer herein, and that Plaintiff be awarded a judgment against Defendants for the following:

- a. Actual and compensatory damages;
- b. Pre and post judgment interest at the maximum rate allowable by law;
- c. Any and all applicable costs of court; and
- d. Any and all such other and further relief to which Plaintiff may be entitled to, at law or in equity.

RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED,

By: /s/ Mark Anthony Acuna
Mark Anthony Acuna
Texas Bar No. 24064044
mark.acuna@martinez-law.com
Desi I. Martinez
Texas Bar No. 24053342
desi.martinez@martinez-law.com

MARTINEZ & ASSOCIATES, PLLC 2828 Goliad Road, Suite 125 San Antonio, Texas 78223 Tel. (210) 359-8250 Fax (210) 359-8255

Attorneys for Plaintiff

Automated Certificate of eService

This automated certificate of service was created by the efiling system. The filer served this document via email generated by the efiling system on the date and to the persons listed below. The rules governing certificates of service have not changed. Filers must still provide a certificate of service that complies with all applicable rules.

Claudia Acuna on behalf of Mark Acuna Bar No. 24064044 claudia.acuna@martinez-law.com Envelope ID: 84703209 Filing Code Description: Petition

Filing Description:

Status as of 2/21/2024 8:13 AM CST

Associated Case Party: Ramon Richards

Name	BarNumber	Email	TimestampSubmitted	Status
Mark AnthonyAcuna		mark.acuna@martinez-law.com	2/20/2024 3:43:49 PM	SENT
Frances Gonzales		frances.gonzales@martinez-law.com	2/20/2024 3:43:49 PM	SENT
Claudia Acuna		claudia.acuna@martinez-law.com	2/20/2024 3:43:49 PM	SENT

Gloria A. Martinez Bexar County District Clerk Accepted By: Wendy Rodriguez Bexar County - 224th District Court

CAUSE NO. <u>2024CI03830</u>

RAMON RICHARDS,	§	IN THE DISTRICT COURT
PLAINTIFF,	§	
	§	
VS.	§	
	§	224 TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT
GENERAL MILLS SALES, INC., AND	§	
H-E-B, LP D/B/A H-E-B TEXAS	§	
CORPORATE 395 STORE	§	
DEFENDANTS.	§	BEXAR COUNTY, TEXAS

PLAINTIFF'S FIRST AMENDED ORIGINAL PETITION WITH REQUESTS FOR INITIAL DISCLOSURES

TO THE HONORABLE JUDGE OF SAID COURT:

NOW COMES RAMON RICHARDS, hereinafter referred to by name or as Plaintiff, and complains of GENERAL MILLS SALES, INC. ("Defendant GENERAL MILLS") and H-E-B, LP D/B/A H-E-B TEXAS CORPORATE 395 STORE ("Defendant HEB") hereinafter referred to by names or as Defendants in the aggregate, and for cause of action would respectfully show unto the Court as follows:

CLAIMS FOR RELIEF AND DISCOVERY CONTROL PLAN

1. Plaintiff asserts that the amount of any monetary damages awarded to Plaintiff should be decided by a jury of Plaintiff's peers. However, Pursuant to RULE 47 of the TEXAS RULES OF CIVIL PROCEDURE, Plaintiff seeks monetary relief over Two Hundred and Fifty Thousand Dollars (\$250,000.00) and a demand for judgment for all the other relief to which Plaintiff RAMON RICHARDS deems himself justly entitled at the time of filing this suit, which, with the passage of time, may change. Plaintiffs intend that discovery be conducted under LEVEL 3 of RULE 190 of the TEXAS RULES OF CIVIL PROCEDURE.

PARTIES

- 2. Plaintiff, RAMON RICHARDS, is an individual who resides in San Antonio, Bexar County, Texas. Pursuant to Section 30.14 of the Texas Civil Practice & Remedies Code, Plaintiff provides the following information: the last three (3) numbers of Plaintiff's social security number are: 474.
- 3. Defendant **GENERAL MILLS SALES INC**. is a Foreign For-Profit Corporation authorized to do business in the State of Texas and may be served with process through its registered agent, NATIONAL REGISTERED AGENTS, INC., 1999 Bryan St., Ste, 900, Dallas, Texas 75201. **Citation is hereby requested.**
- 4. Defendant H-E-B, LP D/B/A H-E-B, TEXAS CORPORATE 395 STORE is a domestic limited partnership authorized to do business in the State of Texas and may be served with process through its registered agent, Abel Martinez, 646 South Flores St., San Antonio, Texas 78204. Citation is hereby requested.

JURISDICTION & VENUE

- 5. This Court has jurisdiction over the parties because the amount in controversy is within the jurisdictional limits of this Court. Additionally, this Court has jurisdiction over the parties because Defendant is a Texas resident and/or does business in the State of Texas.
- **6.** Venue in Bexar County is proper in this Cause under Section 15.002(a)(1) of the TEXAS CIVIL PRACTICE AND REMEDIES CODE because all or a substantial part of the events or omissions giving rise to this lawsuit occurred in this county.

MISNOMER, ALTER-EGO AND ASSUMED NAMES

7. In the event any parties are misnamed or not included herein, it is Plaintiff's contention that such was a "misnomer" and/or such parties are/were "alter egos" of parties named herein. Plaintiff

relies upon Vernon's Texas Revised Civil Statutes Annotated, Art 6133, et seq., and Rule 28 of the Texas Rules of Civil Procedure in order to properly identify the corporate Defendants herein.

FACTS

- **8.** Plaintiff was injured by consuming this product in the manner intended and foreseen by the Defendants. The product in question, breakfast cereal, is sold in a sealed plastic bag. The product is designed to be used for human consumption in the manner suggested by the manufacturer, to wit: Ready to Eat. Start by pouring your cereal into a bowl, then add milk and eat your cereal.
- 9. On August 24, 2022, Plaintiff Ramon Richards was a customer shopping at Defendant HEB's store located at 12777 IH 10 WEST, San Antonio, Texas 78230 where he has purchased a 35 oz. bag of Trix Breakfast Cereal. Plaintiff was injured by using the product in the manner described above and suggested on the packaging. That is, Plaintiff opened the package, poured the breakfast into a bowl of cereal, and proceeded to eat the cereal. As Plaintiff was eating the cereal, he bit into a hard object. Plaintiff felt instant pain in his teeth and jaw and heard a cracking sound in his mouth. Plaintiff spit out from his mouth an object that was discovered to be a metal nail. Pieces of Plaintiff's teeth also came out of his mouth.
- **10.** At no time did Plaintiff Ramon Richards alter the package or contents of the Trix bag of breakfast cereal. The product was under the control and prepared by Defendants prior to Plaintiff consuming the product.

PLAINTIFF'S CLAIMS OF NEGLIGENCE AGAINST DEFENDANTS

11. Plaintiff asserts that the product in question, a 35 oz. bag of Trix Breakfast Cereal, was defective and unsafe for its intended purpose at the time it left the control of Defendant GENERAL

MILLS and at the time it was sold by the retailer Defendant H-E-B. Plaintiff further asserts that the product was defective in that it was improperly processed, manufactured, and sold with a dangerous foreign object, a metal nail, in the packaged cereal.

- 12. Thus, as a proximate result of Defendants' negligent conduct, Plaintiff sustained injuries resulting in injuries and damages to Plaintiff as hereinafter set out. Plaintiff asserts, therefore, that the incident was proximately caused by the negligence of Defendant H-E-B and Defendant GENERAL MILLS, and that said negligence was the proximate cause of the Plaintiff's bodily injuries and damages.
- 13. Defendants breached the duty of care they owed to Plaintiff as a consumer and were both negligent and grossly negligent in their failure to exercise ordinary care in the safety of Plaintiff. Plaintiff seeks all applicable damages available under Texas law.
- 14. Due to the negligence of Defendant GENERAL MILLS and Defendant HEB" in packaging, distributing, and selling a product that was unfit for human consumption, Plaintiff Ramon Richards sustained injuries to his body, incurred medical expenses, and is likely to incur additional medical expenses in the future.

STRICT LIABILITY CLAIMS AGAINST DEFENDANTS

15. The Defendants, and each of them, who regularly engage in the business of manufacturing and selling packaged food products, sold a package of food that was adulterated, in that it contained a foreign body that made the food unreasonably dangerous for human consumption. The product that the Defendants manufactures, packaged, sold contained a metal nail at the time it left the Defendants' possession and control. Food that contains metal nails embedded within it is unreasonably dangerous for its ordinary and expected use, i.e., human consumption. Such a product is thus in an unreasonably dangerous condition not contemplated by an ordinary consumer,

making it defective *per se*. The packaged breakfast cereal was used by the Plaintiff in the manner expected and intended when Plaintiff consumed it. The Plaintiff suffered injury and damages as a direct and proximate result of the defective and unreasonably dangerous condition of the product sold by the Defendants. The Defendants are strictly liable to the Plaintiff for all damages proximately caused by their defective product.

PRODUCT LIABILITY CLAIMS AGAINST DEFENDANT H-E-B, LP D/B/A H-E-B TEXAS CORPORATE 025 STORE

- **16.** On August 24, 2022, Plaintiff bought 35 oz. bag of Trix Breakfast Cereal from Defendant H-E-B.
- **17.** At all times material to this cause of action, Defendant H-E-B was the owner of and/or controlled the subject premises, located at 12777 IH 10 WEST, San Antonio, Texas 78230.
- **18.** At the time of this occurrence, Defendant H-E-B was engaged in the retail business of selling food, including the bag of Trix Breakfast Cereal described above, to the general public.
- 19. At the time Plaintiff opened the bag of Trix Breakfast Cereal and consumed its contents, the bag was in the same packaging as originally manufactured and as it was at the time it was sold to him by Defendant H-E-B.

PRODUCT LIABILITY CLAIMS BY MANUFACTURER DEFENDANT GENERAL MILLS SALES INC.

- **20.** At the time of this occurrence, Defendant GENERAL MILLS SALES, INC., was engaged in the business of manufacturing and packaging cereals products, including bags of Trix Breakfast Cereal, for sale to and for use by members of the general public. Defendant placed the Trix Breakfast Cereal Bag into the stream of commerce by H-E-B.
- 21. Defendant GENERAL MILLS was negligent in the processing, manufacturing, marketing and distribution of the bag of Trix Breakfast Cereal in that its product was defective and was

packaged with a dangerous foreign object (a metal nail). Further, Defendant GENERAL MILLS failed to warn the public and Plaintiff of the dangerous foreign object contained within its product.

22. Plaintiff asserts that each and all of the foregoing acts and or omissions were negligent and constituted negligence and were each and all the proximate cause of the incident which forms the basis of this suit and was a proximate cause of Plaintiff's injuries and damages.

BREACH OF EXPRESS WARRANTY

- 23. Plaintiff further asserts that Defendant GENERAL MILLS and Defendant H-E-B, LP D/B/A H-E-B TEXAS CORPORATE 025 STORE each expressly warranted that their food product, a 35 oz. bag of Trix Breakfast Cereal, would be fit for human consumption or otherwise would not have a dangerous foreign object (a metal nail) in it. Defendants, and each of them, breached said warranty by selling Plaintiff a 35 oz. bag of Trix Breakfast Cereal with a metal nail in it.
- 24. As a proximate result of said breach of express warranties by the Defendants, and each of them, Plaintiff was seriously injured, experienced pain and suffering, mental anguish and incurred medical bills.

BREACH OF EXPRESS WARRANTY

- 25. Plaintiff asserts that Defendant GENERAL MILLS and Defendant H-E-B, LP D/B/A H-E-B TEXAS CORPORATE 025 STORE each impliedly warranted that their food product, a 35 oz. bag of Trix Breakfast Cereal, would be fit for human consumption or otherwise would not have a dangerous foreign object (a metal nail) in it. Defendants, and each of them, breached said warranty by selling Plaintiff a 35 oz. bag of Trix Breakfast Cereal with a metal nail in it.
- **26.** As a proximate result of said breach of implied warranties by the Defendants, and each of them, Plaintiff was seriously injured, experienced pain and suffering, mental anguish and incurred medical bills.

RES IPSA LOQUITUR

- 27. Plaintiff cannot more specifically allege the acts of negligent manufacture or design on the part of Defendant GENERAL MILLS, because facts in the regard are peculiarly within the knowledge of the Defendant. In the alternative, in the event Plaintiff is unable to prove specific acts of negligent design or manufacture, Plaintiff relies on the doctrine of *res ipsa loquitur*.
- 28. In this connection, negligent design or manufacture, Plaintiff will show that the character of the occurrence giving rise to this litigation is such that it would not have in the absence of negligence, and the design and manufacture of the bag of Trix Breakfast Cereal was within the exclusive control of the Defendant GENERAL MILLS at the time of the negligence probably occurred.
- 29. Plaintiff had no means of ascertaining the method or manner in which the product was designed and manufactured, and it came into Plaintiff's possession in the same condition it was in when it left in the control of the Defendant GENERAL MILLS. Thus, Defendant GENERAL MILLS was negligent in the design and/or manufacture of the Trix Breakfast Cereal bag, and such negligence was a proximate cause of injuries and damages sustained by Plaintiff.

DAMAGES

30. As a direct result of the conduct of Defendants and their agents, servants, and employees, Plaintiff suffered severe injuries to his tooth. These injuries are permanent in nature. The injuries have had a serious effect on Plaintiff's health and well-being. These specific injuries and their ill effects have, in turn, caused Plaintiff's physical and mental condition to deteriorate generally and the specific injuries and ill effects have caused and will, in all reasonable probability, cause Plaintiff to suffer consequences and ill effects of the deterioration of his body for long into the future if not for the balance of his natural life. As a result of the nature and consequences of his injuries, Plaintiff has

suffered great physical and mental pain, suffering and anguish and in all reasonable probability, will continue to suffer in this manner for a long time into the future, if not for the balance of his natural life. By reason of all the above Plaintiff has suffered losses and damages in a sum within the jurisdictional limits of this Court and for which this lawsuit is brought.

- 31. As a further result of all of the above, Plaintiff has incurred expenses for his medical care and attention. These expenses were incurred for the necessary care and treatment of injuries resulting from the incident complained of. The charges are reasonable and were the usual and customary charges made for the services. As a further result of the injuries sustained by Plaintiff, there is reasonable probability that he will require further medical care and attention and will incur future reasonable and necessary expenses for his medical care and attention.
- **32.** As a proximate cause of the Defendants' negligent conduct and the resulting collision, Plaintiff seeks to recover damages, which specifically include, but are not limited to, the following:
 - 1. Medical expenses in the past;
 - 2. Medical expenses that in all reasonable probability will be incurred in the future, including the cost of medical monitoring and prevention in the future;
 - 3. Physical pain and suffering in the past;
 - 4. Physical pain and suffering that will in all reasonable probability be incurred in the future;
 - 5. Physical impairment, including loss of enjoyment of life, in the past;
 - 6. Physical impairment, including loss of enjoyment of life, which in all reasonable probability, will be suffered in the future;
 - 7. Physical Disfigurement in the past;
 - 8. Physical Disfigurement that in all reasonable probability will be incurred in the future;
 - 9. Mental anguish in the past;
 - 10. Mental anguish that in all reasonable probability will be incurred in the future;
 - 11. Lost wages in the past; and
 - 12. Loss of future wage-earning capacity;

33. By reason of all of the above, Plaintiff **RAMON RICHARDS** has suffered losses and damages in a sum within the jurisdictional limits of this Court for which he now sues.

INTEREST

34. Plaintiff further requests both pre-judgment and post-judgment interest on all damages as allowed by law.

DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

35. Plaintiff demands a trial by jury. Plaintiff acknowledges payment on this date of the required jury fee.

REQUEST FOR DISCLOSURE

36. Pursuant to Rule 194 of the TEXAS RULES OF CIVIL PROCEDURE, all parties named herein as Defendants are to disclose, within thirty (30) days following the first Answer or general appearance of Defendants, the information and material described in the TEXAS RULES OF CIVIL PROCEDURE 194.2(a)-(l).

NOTICE OF SELF AUTHENTICATION

37. Pursuant to Rule 193.7 of the TEXAS RULES OF CIVIL PROCEDURE, Plaintiff hereby gives notice to all parties that they intend to use all documents, materials and other discovery instruments produced in this case at trial. Such discovery instruments include, but are not limited to, all documents and materials which Defendant produces in response to Plaintiff's written discovery requests.

DESIGNATED E-SERVICE EMAIL ADDRESS

38. The following is the undersigned attorney's designated E-Service email address for all eserved documents and notices, filed and unfiled, pursuant to Tex. R. Civ. P. 21(f)(2) & 21a: mark.acuna@martinez-law.com; claudia.acuna@martinez-law.com; frances.gonzales@martinez-

<u>law.com</u>. This is the undersigned's only E-Service email address, and service through any other email address will be considered invalid.

PRAYER

WHEREFORE, PREMISES CONSIDERED, Plaintiff, RAMON RICHARDS, respectfully prays that Defendants H-E-B, LP D/B/A H-E-B TEXAS CORPORATE 025

STORE AND GENERAL MILLS SALES, INC., be cited to appear and answer herein, and that Plaintiff be awarded a judgment against Defendants for the following:

- a. Actual and compensatory damages;
- b. Pre and post judgment interest at the maximum rate allowable by law;
- c. Any and all applicable costs of court; and
- d. Any and all such other and further relief to which Plaintiff may be entitled to, at law or in equity.

RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED,

By: /s/ Mark Anthony Acuna
Mark Anthony Acuna
Texas Bar No. 24064044
mark.acuna@martinez-law.com
Desi I. Martinez
Texas Bar No. 24053342
desi.martinez@martinez-law.com

MARTINEZ & ASSOCIATES, PLLC 2828 Goliad Road, Suite 125 San Antonio, Texas 78223 Tel. (210) 359-8250 Fax (210) 359-8255

Attorneys for Plaintiff

Automated Certificate of eService

This automated certificate of service was created by the efiling system. The filer served this document via email generated by the efiling system on the date and to the persons listed below. The rules governing certificates of service have not changed. Filers must still provide a certificate of service that complies with all applicable rules.

Claudia Acuna on behalf of Mark Acuna Bar No. 24064044

claudia.acuna@martinez-law.com

Envelope ID: 84803022

Filing Code Description: FIRST AMENDED PETITION

Filing Description: WITH REQUESTS FOR INITIAL DISCLOSURES

Status as of 2/26/2024 8:08 AM CST

Associated Case Party: Ramon Richards

Name	BarNumber	Email	TimestampSubmitted	Status
Mark AnthonyAcuna		mark.acuna@martinez-law.com	2/22/2024 2:55:00 PM	SENT
Frances Gonzales		frances.gonzales@martinez-law.com	2/22/2024 2:55:00 PM	SENT
Claudia Acuna		claudia.acuna@martinez-law.com	2/22/2024 2:55:00 PM	SENT

FILED 3/1/2024 11:41 AM Gloria A. Martinez

Bexar County District Clerk

Accepted By: Garland Carter

Case 5:24-cv-00317-JKP

Document 1-1

Filed 03/28/24

Page 45 of 60

PRIVATE PROCESS

Bexar County - 224th District Court

Case Number: 2024CI03830

Ramon Richards VS General Mills Sales, Inc. ET AL (Note: Attached Document May Contain Additional Litigants)

IN THE 224TH DISTRICT COURT BEXAR COUNTY, TEXAS

CITATION

"THE STATE OF TEXAS"

Directed To:

General Mills Sales, Inc.

BY SERVING ITS REGISTERED AGENT, NATIONAL REGISTERED AGENTS

"You have been sued. You may employ an attorney. If you or your attorney do not file a written answer with the clerk who issued this citation by 10:00am on the Monday next following the expiration of twenty days after you were served this CITATION and PETITION a default judgment may be taken against you. In addition to filing a written answer with the clerk, you may be required to make initial disclosures to the other parties of this suit. These disclosures generally must be made no later than 30 days after you file your answer with the clerk. Find out more at TexasLawHelp.org" Said First Amended Original Petition With Requests For Initial Disclosures was filed on this the 22nd day of February, 2024.

ISSUED UNDER MY HAND AND SEAL OF SAID COURT on this the 26th day of February, 2024.

Notary ID 133372456

MARK ANTHONY ACUNA ATTORNEY FOR PLAINTIFF 2828 Goliad RD STE 125 San Antonio TX 78223-3967



Gloria A. Martinez **Bexar County District Clerk** 101 W. Nueva, Suite 217

San Antonio, Texas 78205 By: /s/ Rosa Aguilera-Rodriguez Rosa Aguilera-Rodriguez, Deputy

Case Number: 2024CI03830 RAMON RICHARDS VS GENERAL MILLS SALES, INC. ET AL 224th District Court Officer's Return I received this CITATION on the 26 day of februry 2024 at 1212 o'clock M. and () executed it by delivering a copy of the CITATION with attached FIRST AMENDED ORIGINAL PETITION WITH REQUESTS FOR INITIAL DISCLOSURES the date of delivery endorsed on it to the defendant National Registered Agents in person on the 20 day of February, 2027 at 116 o'clock A M. at 1999 Bryan St. Ste. 900 or () not executed because _ Date certification expires: Badge/PPS #: Fees: County, Texas OR: VERIFICATION OF RETURN (If not served by a peace officer) SWORN TO THIS NOTARY PUBLIC, STATE OF TEXAS my date of birth is _ and my address is County, State of Texas, LACHANDRA CHANEY Notary Public, State of Texas Comm. Expires 10-05-2025

Automated Certificate of eService

This automated certificate of service was created by the efiling system. The filer served this document via email generated by the efiling system on the date and to the persons listed below. The rules governing certificates of service have not changed. Filers must still provide a certificate of service that complies with all applicable rules.

Frances Gonzales on behalf of Mark Acuna

Bar No. 24064044

frances.gonzales@martinez-law.com

Envelope ID: 85096672

Filing Code Description: Return of Service Successful

Filing Description: H-E-B, LP

Status as of 3/4/2024 12:07 PM CST

Associated Case Party: Ramon Richards

Name	BarNumber	Email	TimestampSubmitted	Status
Frances Gonzales		frances.gonzales@martinez-law.com	3/1/2024 11:41:28 AM	SENT
Claudia Acuna		claudia.acuna@martinez-law.com	3/1/2024 11:41:28 AM	SENT
Mark AnthonyAcuna		mark.acuna@martinez-law.com	3/1/2024 11:41:28 AM	SENT

FILED Case 5:24-cv-00317-JKP 3/1/2024 11:41 AM

Document 1-1

Filed 03/28/24

Page 47 of 60

Bexar County District Clerk Accepted By: Garland Carter Bexar County - 224th District Court

Gloria A. Martinez

Case Number: 2024CI03830

PRIVATE PROCESS

Ramon Richards VS General Mills Sales, Inc. ET AL (Note: Attached Document May Contain Additional Litigants)

IN THE 224TH DISTRICT COURT **BEXAR COUNTY, TEXAS**

CITATION

"THE STATE OF TEXAS"

Directed To:

H-E-B, LP D/B/A H-E-B TEXAS CORPORATE 395 STORE BY SERVING ITS REGISTERED AGENT, ABEL MARTINEZ

"You have been sued. You may employ an attorney. If you or your attorney do not file a written answer with the clerk who issued this citation by 10:00am on the Monday next following the expiration of twenty days after you were served this CITATION and PETITION a default judgment may be taken against you. In addition to filing a written answer with the clerk, you may be required to make initial disclosures to the other parties of this suit. These disclosures generally must be made no later than 30 days after you file your answer with the clerk. Find out more at TexasLawHelp.org" Said First Amended Original Petition With Requests For Initial Disclosures was filed on this the 22nd day of February, 2024.

ISSUED UNDER MY HAND AND SEAL OF SAID COURT on this the 26th day of February, 2024.

MARK ANTHONY ACUNA ATTORNEY FOR PLAINTIFF 2828 Goliad RD STE 125 San Antonio TX 78223-3967



Gloria A. Martinez **Bexar County District Clerk** 101 W. Nueva, Suite 217

San Antonio, Texas 78205 By: /s/ Rosa Aguilera-Rodriguez Rosa Aguilera-Rodriguez, Deputy

Case Number: 2024CI03830 RAMON RICHARDS VS GENERAL MILLS SALES, INC. ET AL 224th District Court Officer's Return I received this CITATION on the Hand day of February 20 24 at 1:00 o'clock PM. and (Vexecuted it by delivering a copy of the CITATION with attached FIRST AMENDED ORIGINAL PETITION WITH REQUESTS FOR INITIAL DISCLOSURES the date of delivery endorsed on it to the defendant HeB, LA DISTA HEB TEXAS Ecoporate Sas Store 27 day of February 20 24 at 10:33 o'clock 17 M. or () not executed because Badge/PPS #: 16578 Date certification expires. County, Texas OR: VERIFICATION OF RETURN (If not served by a peace officer) SWORN TO THIS NOTARY PUBLIC, STATE OF TEXAS I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed in Lt day of + Pbruans

Automated Certificate of eService

This automated certificate of service was created by the efiling system. The filer served this document via email generated by the efiling system on the date and to the persons listed below. The rules governing certificates of service have not changed. Filers must still provide a certificate of service that complies with all applicable rules.

Frances Gonzales on behalf of Mark Acuna

Bar No. 24064044

frances.gonzales@martinez-law.com

Envelope ID: 85096672

Filing Code Description: Return of Service Successful

Filing Description: H-E-B, LP

Status as of 3/4/2024 12:07 PM CST

Associated Case Party: Ramon Richards

Name	BarNumber	Email	TimestampSubmitted	Status
Mark AnthonyAcuna		mark.acuna@martinez-law.com	3/1/2024 11:41:28 AM	SENT
Frances Gonzales		frances.gonzales@martinez-law.com	3/1/2024 11:41:28 AM	SENT
Claudia Acuna		claudia.acuna@martinez-law.com	3/1/2024 11:41:28 AM	SENT

Gloria A. Martinez Bexar County District Clerk Accepted By: Wendy Rodriguez Bexar County - 224th District Court

CAUSE NO. 2024-CI-03830

RAMON RICHARDS,	§	IN THE DISTRICT COURT
Plaintiff,	§	
	§	
VS.	§	224 TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT
	§	
GENERAL MILLS SALES, INC., AND	§	
H-E-B, LP D/B/A H-E-B TEXAS	§	
CORPORATE 395 STORE	§	
Defendants.	8	BEXAR COUNTY, TEXAS

DEFENDANT'S ORIGINAL ANSWER

TO THE HONORABLE JUDGE OF SAID COURT:

NOW COMES H-E-B, LP, a Defendant in the above-entitled cause, and files this its Original Answer, and in support thereof would respectfully show the Court as follows:

I. GENERAL DENIAL

1. Defendant denies each and every allegation of Plaintiff's First Amended Original Petition With Requests for Initial Disclosures, and demands strict proof thereof as required by the Texas Rules of Civil Procedure.

II. PRAYER

WHEREFORE, PREMISES CONSIDERED, Defendant prays that Plaintiff recovers nothing of and from Defendant and that Defendant receives all costs of Court, attorney's fees, and such other and further relief, both at law and in equity, to which Defendant may show itself to be justly entitled.

Respectfully submitted,

By: Acnald E. Mondaya

RONALD E. MENDOZA Texas Bar No. 13937700 RICARDO G. CEDILLO Texas Bar No. 04043600 JOSEPH L. KORBEL Texas Bar No. 24127174

DAVIS, CEDILLO & MENDOZA, INC.

755 E. Mulberry Ave., Ste. 250 San Antonio, Texas 78212

Telephone: 210.822.6666 Facsimile: 210.660.3795

Email: rcedillo@lawdcm.com jkorbel@lawdcm.com Email:

ATTORNEYS FOR DEFENDANT H-E-B, LP

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I certify that on the 25th day of March, 2024, a true and correct copy of the foregoing has been forwarded to the following counsel of record in compliance with the Texas Rules of Civil Procedure:

Mark Anthony Acuna Desi I. Martinez mark.acuna@martinez-law.com desi.martinez@martinez-law.com claudia.acuna@martinez-law.com frances.gonzales@martinez-law.com MARTINEZ & ASSOCIATES, PLLC 2828 Goliad Road, Suite 125 San Antonio, Texas 78223 Attorneys for Plaintiff

> andle E. Mendays RONALD E. MENDOZA

Automated Certificate of eService

This automated certificate of service was created by the efiling system. The filer served this document via email generated by the efiling system on the date and to the persons listed below. The rules governing certificates of service have not changed. Filers must still provide a certificate of service that complies with all applicable rules.

Elizabeth Keefe on behalf of Ronald Mendoza Bar No. 13937700 ekeefe@lawdcm.com

Envelope ID: 85900783

Filing Code Description: ORIGINAL ANSWER OF

Filing Description: H-E-B, LP

Status as of 3/27/2024 8:38 AM CST

Associated Case Party: Ramon Richards

Name	BarNumber	Email	TimestampSubmitted	Status
Mark AnthonyAcuna		mark.acuna@martinez-law.com	3/25/2024 9:10:09 AM	SENT
Frances Gonzales		frances.gonzales@martinez-law.com	3/25/2024 9:10:09 AM	SENT
Claudia Acuna		claudia.acuna@martinez-law.com	3/25/2024 9:10:09 AM	SENT

Associated Case Party: H-E-B, LP.

Name	BarNumber	Email	TimestampSubmitted	Status
Ronald E.Mendoza		rmendoza@lawdcm.com	3/25/2024 9:10:09 AM	SENT
Joseph Korbel		jkorbel@lawdcm.com	3/25/2024 9:10:09 AM	SENT
Ricardo G.Cedillo		rcedillo@lawdcm.com	3/25/2024 9:10:09 AM	SENT
Liz Keefe		ekeefe@lawdcm.com	3/25/2024 9:10:09 AM	SENT
Debra Arriola		darriola@lawdcm.com	3/25/2024 9:10:09 AM	SENT

EXHIBIT D

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)

(a) PLAINTIFFS				DEFENDANTS					
Ramon Richards	S			General Mills Sales, Inc., and H-E-B, LP d/b/a H-E-B Texas Corporate 395 Store					
(b) County of Residence of	_	exar County		County of Residence of First Listed Defendant Hennepin County					
Œ	XCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CA	(SES)		NOTE: IN LAND C	,	<i>LAINTIFF CASES OF</i> ON CASES, USE TH IVOLVED.		OF	
(c) Attorneys (Firm Name,	Address, and Telephone Numbe	·**)		Attorneys (If Known)					
	cuna, Desi I. Martin			Counsel for Ge		s, Inc.: Bertina	a B. York,	Norton	n Rose
•	C, 2828 Goliad Rd.,			Fulbright US LI					
782223: (210)35			•	78205: (210) 2					
II. BASIS OF JURISD	ICTION (Place an "X" in	One Box Only)		TIZENSHIP OF P					
1 U.S. Government 3 Federal Question (U.S. Government Not a Party)					PTF DEF	Incorporated or Pri of Business In T		PTF 4	DEF X 4
2 U.S. Government Defendant	X 4 Diversity (Indicate Citizensh	ip of Parties in Item III)	Citize	en of Another State	2	Incorporated and P of Business In A		5	x 5
				en or Subject of a reign Country	3 3	Foreign Nation		6	6
IV. NATURE OF SUIT			EC	ADDELTUDE (DENIAL TS)		for: Nature of S			
CONTRACT 110 Insurance	PERSONAL INJURY	PERSONAL INJURY		DRFEITURE/PENALTY 5 Drug Related Seizure		Deal 28 USC 158	375 False O	STATUT	
120 Marine	310 Airplane	🗶 365 Personal Injury -		of Property 21 USC 881	423 Wit	hdrawal	376 Qui Ta	m (31 USC	
130 Miller Act 140 Negotiable Instrument	315 Airplane Product Liability	Product Liability 367 Health Care/	69	0 Other		USC 157 CLLECTUAL	3729(a 400 State R		nment
150 Recovery of Overpayment	320 Assault, Libel &	Pharmaceutical				ERTY RIGHTS	410 Antitru		ment
& Enforcement of Judgment	Slander 330 Federal Employers'	Personal Injury Product Liability			820 Cop		430 Banks 450 Comm		ng
152 Recovery of Defaulted	Liability	368 Asbestos Personal			830 Pate 835 Pate	ent ent - Abbreviated	460 Deport		
Student Loans (Excludes Veterans)	340 Marine 345 Marine Product	Injury Product Liability			Nev	v Drug Application	470 Racket	teer Influen t Organizat	
153 Recovery of Overpayment	Liability	PERSONAL PROPERT	Y	LABOR	840 Trac	demark end Trade Secrets	480 Consu	_	
of Veteran's Benefits	350 Motor Vehicle	370 Other Fraud	71	0 Fair Labor Standards		of 2016	_ `	SC 1681 or	
160 Stockholders' Suits	355 Motor Vehicle Product Liability	371 Truth in Lending 380 Other Personal	H ₇₂	Act 0 Labor/Management	SOCIA	L SECURITY	485 Teleph	one Consu	mer
195 Contract Product Liability	360 Other Personal	Property Damage		Relations	861 HIA	(1395ff)	490 Cable/	Sat TV	
196 Franchise	Injury 362 Personal Injury -	385 Property Damage Product Liability		0 Railway Labor Act 1 Family and Medical		ck Lung (923) VC/DIWW (405(g))	850 Securit Excha		odities/
	Medical Malpractice			Leave Act	864 SSI	D Title XVI	890 Other 5	Statutory A	
210 Land Condemnation	440 Other Civil Rights	PRISONER PETITIONS Habeas Corpus:		0 Other Labor Litigation 1 Employee Retirement	☐ 865 RSI	(405(g))	891 Agricu 893 Enviro		
220 Foreclosure	441 Voting	463 Alien Detainee	Γ"	Income Security Act	FEDER	AL TAX SUITS	895 Freedo		
230 Rent Lease & Ejectment	442 Employment	510 Motions to Vacate				es (U.S. Plaintiff	Act		
240 Torts to Land 245 Tort Product Liability	443 Housing/ Accommodations	Sentence 530 General				Defendant) —Third Party	896 Arbitra 899 Admin		rocedure
290 All Other Real Property	445 Amer. w/Disabilities -			IMMIGRATION		USC 7609		view or Ap	
	Employment 446 Amer. w/Disabilities -	Other: 540 Mandamus & Other		2 Naturalization Application 5 Other Immigration	n		Agency 950 Constit	y Decision tutionality	
	Other	550 Civil Rights		Actions			State S		
	448 Education	555 Prison Condition 560 Civil Detainee -							
		Conditions of Confinement							
V. ORIGIN (Place an "X" i	n One Rox Only)	Commentent							
		Remanded from	4 Rein	stated or 5 Transf	erred from	6 Multidistri	ct 8	Multidis	strict
Proceeding Sta	te Court	Appellate Court	Reop		er District	Litigation -	- "	Litigatio	
	Cita the U.S. Civil Sto	tute under which you are	filing A	(specif		Transfer		Direct F	ile
VI CALICE OF ACTIO	28 U.S.C. Sections 133	32, 1441, and 1446	illing (L	oo noi eue jurisaieuonai sa	uutes uniess ai	versuy).			
VI. CAUSE OF ACTION	Brief description of ca	iuse:							
VII DEOLIECTED IN	Product liability action.	TO 1 67 1 69 1 697 637	D	EMAND ¢		HECK VEC1-	£ 1 1. 1 :.	1 - :	
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:	UNDER RULE 2	IS A CLASS ACTION 3, F.R.Cv.P.	D	EMAND \$		HECK YES only i URY DEMAND:	X Yes	No No	
VIII. RELATED CASI IF ANY	E(S) (See instructions):	JUDGE			DOCK	ET NUMBER			
DATE		SIGNATURE OF ATTO	ORNEY (OF RECORD		_			
Mar 27, 2024		/s/ Bertina B. York							
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY									
RECEIPT #AN	MOUNT	APPLYING IFP		JUDGE_		MAG. JUD	OGE		

Attorneys for Defendants (Continued):

Counsel for General Mills, Inc.: Bertina B. York, Norton Rose Fulbright US LLP, 111 W. Houston St., Ste. 1800, SA, TX 78205; (210) 270-7146 and Charmaine Harris, Norton Rose Fulbright US LLP, 60 South Sixth Street, Ste. 3100, Minneapolis, MN 55402; (612) 321-2800.

Counsel for H-E-B, LP: Ronald E. Mendoza, Davis, Cedillo & Mendoza, Inc., 755 E. Mulberry Ave., Ste. 250, SA, TX 78212; (210)822-6666.

Case 5:24-cv-00317-JKP Document 1-1 Filed 03/28/24 Page 55 of 60

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS DIVISION San Antonio

Supplement to JS 44 Civil Cover Sheet Cases Removed from State District Court

This form must be filed with the Clerk's Office no later than the first business day following the filing of the Notice of Removal. Additional sheets may be used as necessary.

ST.	ДΊ	re.	co	UR'	r i	N	FΩ	R	M	\mathbf{A}^{γ}	ΓI	O	N	
		L	-	ω		1 1 1			v .	_		.,,		

	-
The attorney of record for the removing par	ty MUST sign this form.
STATE COURT INFORMATION:	
1. Please identify the court from which the ca complete style of the case.	se is being removed; the case number; and the
Ramon Richards v. General Mills Sales, Inc., and H-No. 2024CI03830 in the 224th District Court, Bexar	E-B, LP d/b/a H-E-B Texas Corporate 395 Store; Cause County, Texas.
2. Was jury demand made in State Court?	Yes No
f yes, by which party and on what date?	
Ramon Richards Party Name	2/20/2024 Date
STATE COURT INFORMATION:	
	ors still remaining in the case. Also, please list the clude the attorney's firm name, correct mailing addre

ess, telephone number, and fax number (including area codes).

Plaintiff, Ramon Richards Defendant, General Mills Sales, Inc. Defendant, H-E-B, LP d/b/a H-E-B Texas Corporate 395 Store

See additional comments section on p. 3 for complete list of attorneys of record for each party.

2. List all parties that have not been served at the time of the removal, and the reason(s) for non-service. None.

3.	List all parties that have been non-suited,	dismissed, or terminated	, and the reason(s)	for their
remov	al from the case.			

None.

COUNTERCLAIMS, CROSS-CLAIMS, and/or THIRD-PARTY CLAIMS:

1. List separately each counterclaim, cross-claim, or third-party claim still remaining in the case and designate the nature of each such claim. For each counterclaim, cross-claim, or third-party claim, include all plaintiffs, defendants, and intervenors still remaining in the case. Also, please list the attorney(s) of record for each party named and include the attorney's firm name, correct mailing address, telephone number, and fax number (including area codes).

None.

VERIFICATION:

Bertina B. York

03/28/2024

Attorney for Removing Party

Date

Defendant, General Mills Sales, Inc.

Party/Parties

(NOTE: Additional comment space is available on page 3)

ADDITIONAL COMMENTS (As necessary):

Attorneys for Plaintiff, Ramon Richards:

Mark Anthony Acuna

Texas Bar No. 24064044

Desi I. Martinez

Texas Bar No. 24053342

Martinez & Associates, PLLC

2828 Goliad Road, Suite 125

San Antonio, Texas 78223

Tel. (210) 359-8250

Fax (210) 359-8255

Email: mark.acuna@martinez-law.com Email: desi.martinez@martinez-law.com

E-Service Email: claudia.acuna@martinez-law.com E-Service Email: frances.gonzales@martinez-law.com

Attorneys for Defendant, H-E-B, LP d/b/a H-E-B Texas Corporate 395 Store:

Ronald E. Mendoza

Texas Bar No. 13937700

Ricardo G. Cedillo

Texas Bar No. 04043600

Joseph L. Korbel

Texas Bar No. 24127174

Davis, Cedillo & Mendoza, Inc.

755 E. Mulberry Ave., Ste. 250

San Antonio, Texas 78212

Tel. (210) 822-6666

Fax (210) 660-3795

Email: rcedillo@lawdcm.com

Email: jkorbel@lawdcm.com

Attorneys for Defendant, General Mills Sales, Inc.:

Bertina B. York (TX #03354500)

Norton Rose Fulbright US LLP

111 W. Houston Street, Suite 1800

San Antonio, TX 78205

Tel. (210) 270-7146

Fax (210) 270-7205

Email: bertina.york@nortonrosefulbright.com

EXHIBIT E

224th District Court

Case Summary

Case No. 2024CI03830

Ramon Richards VS General Mills Sales, § Location: 224th District

Inc. ET AL Court

§ Judicial Officer: 224th, District

Court

§ Filed on: **02/20/2024**

Case Information

Case Type: OTHER PRODUCT

LIABILITY

Case Status: **02/20/2024 Pending**

Assignment Information

Current Case Assignment

Case Number 2024CI03830

Court 224th District Court

Date Assigned 02/20/2024

Judicial Officer 224th, District Court

Party Information

3/27/24, 1:55 PM Case 5:24-cv-00317-JKP Docum@egtisler16f Actionised0046/1028/304 Page 60 of 60

Lead Attorneys

Plaintiff Richards, Ramon ACUNA, MARK ANTHONY

Retained

Defendant General Mills Sales, Inc.

H-E-B, LP

H-E-B, LP. MENDOZA, RONALD EDWIN

Retained

Events and Orders of the Court

02/20/2024 New Cases Filed (OCA)

02/20/2024 PETITION

02/22/2024 FIRST AMENDED PETITION

WITH REQUESTS FOR INITIAL DISCLOSURES

02/26/2024 **Citation**

General Mills Sales, Inc.

Served: 02/28/2024

H-E-B, LP

Served: 02/27/2024

03/01/2024 RETURN OF SERVICE - SUCCESSFUL

H-E-B, LP

03/01/2024 RETURN OF SERVICE - SUCCESSFUL

GENERAL MILLS SALES, INC

03/25/2024 ORIGINAL ANSWER OF

H-E-B, LP